

# Crown Awards, Inc. v. Discount Trophy & Co., Inc.

564 F.Supp.2d 290 (S.D.N.Y. 2008), aff'd, No. 08-1674-CV, 2009 WL 1054109 (2d. Cir. Apr. 21, 2009)

Following a trial, the court held that the defendant infringed the copyrights in our client's popular Spin Trophy, by selling a trophy that court found "strikingly similar" [More](#)

564 F.Supp.2d 290 (S.D.N.Y. 2008), aff'd, No. 08-1674-CV, 2009 WL 1054109 (2d. Cir. Apr. 21, 2009)

Following a trial, the court held that the defendant infringed the copyrights in our client's popular Spin Trophy, by selling a trophy that court found "strikingly similar" to the Spin Trophy. The judgment permanently enjoins the defendant from selling the infringing trophy and orders it to pay Crown its profits from the infringement. In July, 2008, the Court granted our motion to recover attorneys' fees. The Court said that Crown and the Firm "prevailed in every stage of the litigation" and that Crown was entitled to recover its legal fees in full. The Court went on to write that "Fross Zelnick hourly rates for legal services have repeatedly been found to be fair by courts evaluating them," and that "as a result of Fross Zelnick's expertise in the field of copyright law, it does not expend extra time to understand the issues involved in a copyright case, and therefore spends only a reasonable number of hours to litigate the case." On April 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed the district court ruling on infringement and damages, as well as the award of Crown's attorneys' fees.